BEFORE THE JUDICIAL INQUIRY COMMISSION OF ALABAMA

Inquiry Concerning a Judge, No. ____.

	COMBLAINT ACAINST HISTICE TOM DADIZED
	COMPLAINT AGAINST JUSTICE TOM PARKER
	We write to lodge a complaint against Justice Tom Parker relating to the
٠.	
	Ontchast & 2015 interviers he care to Davin Picahan andia Facal Daint madia about

No. 1140460, pending before the Alabama Supreme Court. In King, relators Alabama Policy Institute ² and Alabama Citizen Action Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision		
No. 1140460, pending before the Alabama Supreme Court. In King, relators Alabama Policy Institute ² and Alabama Citizen Action Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision		
In King, relators Alabama Policy Institute ² and Alabama Citizen Action Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision		interview. Justice Parker is asked about and comments on Ex parte State v. King.
In King, relators Alabama Policy Institute ² and Alabama Citizen Action Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision	·	
In King, relators Alabama Policy Institute ² and Alabama Citizen Action Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision		
In King, relators Alabama Policy Institute ² and Alabama Citizen Action Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision	-	
Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision		No. 1140460, pending before the Alabama Supreme Court.
Program, in response to U.S. District Judge Callie V.S. Granade's decision		In King, relators Alabama Policy Institute ² and Alabama Citizen Action
		Duranan in manage to II C. District Index Collie V.C. Cronode's decision
		Program, in response to 0.5. District Judge Came v.5. Granade's decision
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	_	
	_	
		•


Inst. and Ala. Citizens Action Program's Br. Addressing the Effect of Obergefell on This Ct.'s Existing Orders, attached hereto as Exhibit B, at 19–30.

In the interview, Justice Parker acknowledges the pendency of the <u>King</u> case. <u>See Ex. A at 4–5, 10 (discussing King</u> and, inter alia, acknowledging that


	Cf-sp-se -g-vers *	. 61	C 11 .5. (2 1 1.1	1 , 1 ,1	, • 1	· -
						ir.	
	1-						
*							

refused to accept that mandate, so the reversal was never acted on.

I think it was a model of what we need to see in this county.



Justice Parker's disdain for the federal judiciary and the Supreme Court's



"revival," that is, stand up to and defy the United States Supreme Court. See Ex. A at 1, 2-3. Such rallying cry not only violates the Canons of Judicial Ethics, it violates his oath to uphold the Constitution because "[n]o . . . judicial officer can war against the Constitution without violating his undertaking to support it." Cooper v. Aaron. 358 U.S. 1. 18 (1958).⁵

	Subscribed and Sworn to or affirmed before me this 12th day of October, 2015.
	My commission expires: 6/5/19
, ¥ ±	
E	
	•
te-p m	-
	•
	1
į.	
'====	
<u> </u>	
7 -	
·*	
· _	•
·	
; -	
	·
·	
-	<u> </u>
<u>-</u>	
<u> </u>	
**	·
, La	
=======================================	
*	
<u> </u>	