CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT OCONEE COUNTY, GEORGIA
SUSR2024000058
LL
OCT 04, 2024 10:54 PM

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF OCONEE COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

SUZANNAH HEIMEL,)
Plaintiff,)
v.))
SHARON GREGG and JAY HANLEY,)
Respondents,) CASE NO.) SUSR024000058-LL
COMMON CAUSE GEORGIA and SUSAN NOAKES,))
Intervenor Respondents.))
)))

MOTION TO DISMISS APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND MOTION FOR EMERGENCY INJUNCTION AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF BY INTERVENORS SUSAN NOAKES AND COMMON CAUSE GEORGIA¹

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6), Intervenors Susan Noakes and Common Cause Georgia (collectively, "Intervenors") respectfully move to dismiss the Application for Writ of Mandamus (the "Application") and Motion for Emergency Injunction filed by Plaintiff Suzannah Heimel ("Plaintiff") in the above-styled action.

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff's threadbare and vague Application and Motion for Emergency Injunction are futile because the Application does not adequately allege that Plaintiff is "clearly" entitled to any

¹ The Proposed Intervenors respectfully request leave from the Court to file this Motion to Dismiss Application for Writ of Mandamus with Memorandum in Support Thereof as Intervenors' initial pleading, which shall be deemed to have been filed as of this date.

all doubts resolved in the plaintiff's favor, disclose with certainty that the plaintiff would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts." *Penny v. McBride*, 282 Ga. App. 590, 590 (2006). In considering the factual allegations in a complaint, courts are not required to accept as true "legal conclusion[s] [that are] couched as fact" *Mabra v. SF, Inc.*, 316 Ga. App. 62, 65, (2012).

ARGUMENT

The Court Should Dismiss the Application for Mandamus and Motion for Emergency Injunction Because Plaintiff Is Not Clearly Entitled to Relief Under State Law, So Plaintiff's Requested Relief Would Be Futile.

Plaintiff seeks the issuance of a writ of mandamus, see generally

has no "clear" right to a declaration that the dismissal was invalid, particularly because she pleads no facts suggesting that the challenges were submitted in proper form and required the Board to take any mandatory action under the law. And Plaintiff has no "clear" right to an order directing the Board to place the voters into challenged status because the Board has no mandatory duty under Section 230 to do so, and instead retains discretion to decide whether there is "probable cause to sustain such challenge." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-230(b). Because the Board has no "clear" and "manifest" mandatory duty under the law to reach Plaintiffs' preferred outcome on the challenges, the Court has no power to grant the requested writ of mandamus.

Further, granting mandamus here would be "fruitless" because there are fewer than 45 days left before the November 5, 2024 General Election. Plaintiff appears to request relief under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-230, which governs challenges to voters' qualifications to vote in a particular election and provides for the designation of voters as "challenged" voters who may only vote challenged ballots. But that statute does not allow the relief she seeks. Section 230 provides that "[a]ny challenge of an elector within 45 days of a primary, run-off primary, election, or run-off election shall be postponed until the certification of such primary, election, or runoff is completed."

also Barrow, 308 Ga. at 679 (stating that "mandamus will not lie when the thing or things sought would be unnecessary, fruitless, unavailing or nugatory") (quoting *Hall v. Staunton*, 55 W. Va. 684 (1904)). Likewise, granting Plaintiff's Application would be fruitless. The Court should therefore deny and dismiss the Application.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant Intervenors' Motion to Dismiss the Application for Writ of Mandamus.

Respectfully submitted, this 4th day of October, 2024.

/s/ Jeremy Burnette

Jeremy Burnette (Ga. Bar No. 142467) Anthony W. Morris (Ga. Bar No. 523495)

AKERMAN LLP

999 Peachtree Street NE Suite 1700 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 (404) 733-9800

jeremy.burnette@akerman.com anthony.morris@akerman.com

/s/

/s/ Avner Shapiro

Avner Shapiro*

SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER

1101 17th Street NW, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20036 (240) 890-1735 avner.shapiro@splcenter.org

Counsel for Susan Noakes, Common Cause Georgia

/s/ Cory Isaacson

Cory Isaacson (Ga. Bar No. 983797) Caitlin May (Ga. Bar No. 602081) Akiva Freidlin (Ga. Bar No. 692290) ACLU FOUNDATION OF GEORGIA, INC.

P.O. Box 570738 Atlanta, Georgia 30357 (678)310-3699 cisaacson@acluga.org cmay@acluga.org afreidlin@acluga.org

/s/ Sophia Lin Lakin

Sophia Lin Lakin*

Theresa J. Lee*

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

125 Broad St. 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 (212) 549-2500 slakin@aclu.org tlee@aclu.org

Counsel for Susan Noakes

*motion for admission *pro hac vice* forthcoming

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF OCONEE COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

SUZANNAH HEIMEL,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
SHARON GREGG - DIRECTOR OF BOARD OF ELECTIONS and JAY HANLEY - CHAIR OF BOARD OF ELECTIONS, Defendant,))) Case no.) SUSR024000058-LL
SUSAN NOAKES and COMMON CAUSE GEORGIA,)))
Proposed Intervenors.)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on October 4, 2024, the foregoing was served upon the following persons by electronic mail and through the Court's electronic service delivery to:

Suzannah Heimel

heimels@yahoo.com 1340 Twin Oaks Trail Watkinsville GA 30677

Sharon Gregg

sgregg@oconee.ga.us 7635 Macon Highway Suite 200

Watkinsville, GA 30677

Jay Hanley

jhanley@oconee.ga.us

7635 Macon Highway

Suite 200

Watkinsville, GA 30677

/s/ Jeremy Burnette
Jeremy Burnette (Ga. Bar No. 142467)