CHANCERY COURT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Charles Araujo, Evelyn S Garner Arauj Cassandra Overton-Welchlin, John Sewe Kimberly Sewell, Lutaya Stewart, and Arthu Brown, all on behalf of themselves as taxpay and as next friends of their minor children, | áll, }r |
|--|--|
| Plaintiffs, |) |
| v. | Civil Action No. G. 2016-1008) |
| Governor Phil Bryant, the Mississipp Department of Educationa, nd the Jackson Public School District, | |
| Defendants. |)) |

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1. This is a state constitutional challengethe funding provisions of the Mississippi Charter Schools Act of 2013 ("CSA"), Miss. Code § 37-2& t1 seq The CSA diverts public money to charter schools through funding streams: ad valoretax funds from local school districts and per-pupil funds of the Mississippi Department Education ("MDE"). Both funding streams are unconstitutional and must be struck down.

2. Section 206 of the Mississippi Constitution provides that a school district's ad valorem taxes may only be used for the districtmaintain its ownschools. Under the CSA, public school distrits must share ad valornetax revenue with charteschools that they do not control or supervise. Repeiring a school district to distributed valorem tax revenue to a school outside its control is unconstitutional. Theref, the local funding stream of the CSA is unconstitutional.

6. During the 2016-2017 school year, a thirdander school will open within JPS's geographic boundaries. All three charter schools revide ive state funds from MDE and local ad valorem tax revenue from JPS. Between these **threat**er schools, JPS startdsose more than \$4 million during the 2016-2017 school year.

7. The CSA heralds a financial cataclysm foorblic school districts across the state. In the spring of 2016, charter school comparsiels mitted Letters of Intent to open a total of fourteen new charter schools robughout Mississippi. Eleven oblices proposed charter schools would be within JPS's boundaries, and the othere proposed charter hous would be within Sunflower County, Tunica County, and Newton County.

8. Although not all proposed charter schools subsequently submitted applications for approval, the future is clear: as a directulte of the unconstitutional CSA funding provisions, traditional public schools will have fewer teacts, books, and educational resources. These schools will no longer be able to provide Missischoolchildren the decation that they are constitutionally entitled to receive.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. The injunctions sought in this case arequeests in equity. Therefore, subjectmatter jurisdiction over this suit lies with tothancery Court. Miss. Const. art. VI, § 159(a).

10. Venue is proper because a suit agathet State must berought in the county where the seat of governmentois ated. Miss. Code § 11-45-1.

PARTIES

11. Charles Araujo and Evelyn S Garner Araujo are Plaintiffs in this lawsuit. They own a home at 4519 Brook Drive in Jackson, **Nsissipi**pi. They are bothstate taxpayers anadd valorem taxpayers. They have two children whoe astudents in the Jackson Public School

District. Mr. Araujo and Mrs. Gaer Araujo bring this suit as teapayers and next friends of their minor children. C. Araujo Affidavits(eeEx. 1); E. Garner Araujo Affidavits(eeEx. 2).

12. Cassandra Overton-Welchlin is a Plaintiffthis lawsuit. Mrs. Overton-Welchlin and her husband own a home at 157 GlenmaryetStreJackson, Mississippi. She is both a state taxpayer and and valoremtaxpayer. They have two children/ho are students in the Jackson Public School District. Mrs. Ovteon-Welchlin brings this suit as taxpayer and next friend of her minor children. C. Overton-Welchlin Affidavite(eEx. 3).

13. John and Kimberly Sewell are Plaintiffstimis lawsuit. They own a home at 3825 Hawthorn Drive in Jackson, MississippThey are both state taxpayers a**ad** valorem taxpayers. They have three children who areestted in the Jackson Public School District. The Sewells bring this suit as taxpayers and neisentits of their minor children. J. Sewell Affidavit (seeEx. 4); K. Sewell Affidavit \$eeEx. 5).

14. Lutaya Stewart is a Plaifftiin this lawsuit. She owna home at 359 Park Lane in Jackson, Mississippi. Shebiosth a state taxpayer and and valoremtaxpayer. Ms. Stewart has a

Mississippi. Governor Bryantmay be served with processupon the Honorable Jim Hood, Attorney General of the State Mississippi, at 550 High Steet, Suite 1200, Jackson, Mississippi 39201. Miss. Code § 11-45-3; Miss. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(5).

17. The Mississippi Department of EducationM(DE") is a Defendant in this lawsuit. MDE is responsible for the administration, magement, and control of public schools in Mississippi. Miss. Code § 37-3-57he CSA requires MDE to dimepublic taxpayer funds from public school districts to charter schools. Misside § 37-28-55(1)(a). MDE may be served with process upon the Honorable Jim Hood, Attornemeter of the State of Mississippi, at 550 High Street, Suite 1200, Jackson, Mississippi 39201. Missde § 11-45-3; Miss. FCiv. P. 4(d)(5).

18. The Jackson Public School District ("JP)Sis a Defendant in this lawsuit. The only two charter schools urrently operating in Mississippi endocated within JPS's geographic boundaries. The CSA requires JPS to divert a portions ad valorem tax revenue to the charter schools operating within its boundaries. Missode § 37-28-55(2). JPS may be served with process upon Dr. Cedrick Gray, JPS Superinteen, deet 662 South Priedent Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39201. Miss. RCiv. P. 4(d)(8).

<u>FACTS</u>

I. The CSA diverts public taxpayer funds to charter schools.

19. The foregoing allegations **ane**orporated by reference herein.

20. The CSA was passed by the Mississipegislature and signed into law by Governor Bryant in 2013. Codified at Miss. Code § 37-28-64; seq, the CSA provides for the establishment of charter schools statewide.

21. The CSA provides taxpayer funding to charter schools through two funding streams: per-pupil state funds from the local school district where the student attenditing charter school resides.

22. With respect to the state funding stream, the CSA provides, "[t]he State Department of Education shall make paymetotscharter schools for elacstudent in average daily attendance at the charter school equal etostate share of the adequate education program payments for each student in average daily attendence at the charter school equal etostate share of the school district in which the charter school is located." MissCode § 37-28-55(1)(a).

23. As for the local funding stream, to A provides two methods for allocating ad valorem tax revenue depending one whether student resides.

24. For a student enrolled in a desar school located within the geographic boundaries of the school district whethere resides, "[t]he school disst in which a charter school is located shall pay directly to the chartehosod an amount for each student enrolled in the charter school equal to the ad valorem tax receipts and in-lieu payments received per pupil for the support of the local school district in whithe student resides/Miss. Code § 37-28-55(2).

25. For a student who attends a chrastehool located outside the geographic boundaries of the school district wine he resides, the CSA providenat "the State Department of Education shall pay to charter school in which the studiesn tenrolled . . the pro rata ad valorem receipts and in-lieu payments per polypoil the support of the local school district in which the student resides." Miss. Code § 37-28-55(3).

26. Regardless of whether the local schologitrict or the State Department of Education allocates the ad valor deax revenue to the charter school, the result is the same: the public school district loses portion of its ad valor entrax revenue to charter schools.

- II. The Mississippi Constitution places limits on the allocation of public taxpayer funds to schools.
 - A. Section 206 of the Mississippi Constitution prohibits the Legislature from redistributing a school distri

33. The Mississippi Supreme Court definedrete school" to mean school that is "under the general supervision of the State superident and the localipservision of the county superintendent." Otken v. Lamkin 56 Miss. 758, 764 (1879) (emphasis added).

34. The Mississippi Supreme Court reaffirm the discription years later, explaining that "[i]n order for a school tobe within the system of free plut schools required by section 201 of the Constitution, the establishment anord trol thereof must be vested in the public officials charged with the duty of establishing mad supervising that system of schools of schools of schools of schools and supervising that system of schools of

schools are subject to regulation by the State BoarEducation and the State Department of Education. Miss. Code § 37-3-5.

40. Charter school administrators are expension state administrator licensure requirements. Miss. Code § 37-28-47(1)(a). Intrast, administrators of traditional public schools must follow the state administratoe fisure requirements. Miss. Code § 37-9-7.

41. As many as 25 percent of teachers in **arter** school may be exempt from state teacher licensure requirements at the time the line indiharter application is approved. Miss. Code § 37-28-47(1)(a). In contrast, 95 percent calditional public school teachers must meet state teacher licensure requirements. Miss. Code § 37-3-2(6)(e).

42. Charter school teachers are exempt frestrate minimum salary requirements. Miss. Code § 37-28-47(2). In retorast, traditionalpublic schools must pay their teachers in accordance with a state lastay scale that establishes salary minimums based on years of experience and licensure type iss. Code § 37-19-7(1).

IV. As a result of the unconstitutional funding provisions in the CSA, JPS lost more than \$1.85 million to charter schools during Fiscal Year 2016.

43. Reimagine Charter, located at 309 WessDowell Road in Jackson, Mississippi, enrolled 121 students dug the 2015-2016 school year.

44. In compliance with the CSA, JPS surrendered \$317,487.06 in ad valorem tax revenue to Reimagine Charter during the 20056 school year. Reimagine Charter Financial Records (see Ex. 8).

45. In compliance with the CSA, MDE surrendered \$643,027.00 in state funds to Reimagine Charter for Fiscal Year 20 See id.

46. Accordingly, MDE and JPS remitted total of \$960,514.06 to Reimagine Charter in the 2015-2016 school year. But for the CSMApse funds would have been spent on JPS schoolchildren.

47. Midtown Charter, located at 301 Adeller Sett in Jackson, Misissippi, enrolled 106 students during the 2015-2016 school year.

48. In compliance with the CSA, JPS surrendered \$278,129.16 in ad valorem tax revenue to Midtown Charteduring the 2015-2016 school yeal/lidtown Charter Financial Records see

53. Based on the expected enrollment of steath three charter schools, JPS will lose more than \$4 million to charter schools in the 2016-2017 school year.

V. Charter schools will drain funding from traditional public school districts statewide.

54. The expansion of charter schools heraldinancial cataclys for public school districts across the state.

55. Currently, four charter school appticans are pending before the Authorizer Board. Each charter school would open witthe geographic boundaries of JPS.

56. During the 2016 legislative session, **Miesissippi** Legislature passed Senate Bill 2161, which allows students in schoolistricts rated "C," "D," or "F" by MDE to cross district lines – and take state per-pupilind local ad valore funding with them – to attend charter schools.SeeMiss. Code § 37-28-23(1)(b).

57. This expansion of chartschools will deplete publifunds from traditional public school districts across the state, and will dowistbout any oversight from the State Board of Education, MDE, or theoral school district.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

CLAIM NO. 1: DECLARATORY AND INJ UNCTIVE RELIEF FOR THE VIOLATION OF SECTION 206 OF THE MISSISSIPPI CONSTITUTION

58. All previous paragraphs aimecorporated herein by referree as if fully restated.

59. Section 206 of the Mississippi Cditustion provides that "[a]ny county or separate school district may learn additional tax, as prescribed by general law, to maintain its schools."

60. Section 206 only allows ad valorem tax **newe** to be used for the maintenance of the levying school district's schools.

61. Remitting ad valorem tax revenue to **dbas**chools pursuant to Section 37-28-55

of the Mississippi Code ølates Section 206 of the Mississippi Constitution.

62. Therefore, the Defendants must be **energy** from enforcing or complying with

Section 37-28-55 athe Mississippi Code.

CLAIM NO. 2: DECLARATORY AND INJUNC TIVE RELIEF FOR THE VIOLATION OF SECTION 208 OF THE MISSISSIPPI CONSTITUTION

- 63. All previous paragraphs anecorporated herein by referee as if fully restated.
- 64. Section 208 of the Mississippi Coinstion provides as follows:

No religious or other sect or sectsablever control any part of the school or other educational funds of this setator shall any funds be appropriated toward the support of any sectarianhosod, or to any school that at the time of receiving such appropriations not conducted as a free school.

65. Charter schools, as provided for byet 6SA, are not "freeschools" within the

meaning of Section 208.

66. Payments of public funds turcharter schools presuant to Second 37-28-55 of the

Mississippi Code violate Section 208 the Mississippi Constitution.

67. Therefore, the Defendants must be imigid from enforcing or complying with

Section 37-28-55 dhe Mississippi Code.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

68. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court:

69. Declare the funding provisions of toesA unconstitutional under the Mississippi Constitution;

70. Enter an order permanently enjoig the Defendants from enforcing or complying with the funding provisions of the CSA; and

71. Award all other relief, including generatelief, to which the Court deems the Plaintiffs to be entitled.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this Twenty-Ninth day of July 2016.

s/Will Bardwell William B. Bardwell, MS Bar # 102910 Lydia Wright, MS Bar # 105186 Southern Poverty Law Center 111 E. Capitol Street, Suite 280 Jackson, MS 39201 Phone: 601-948-8882 Fax: 601-948-8885 will.bardwell@splcenter.org lydia.wright@splcenter.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, William B. Bardwell, hereby certify that true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically. Notice of thinking will be sent by electronic mail to all parties by the Court's electronic filing system? The may access this filing through the Court's MEC/ECF System.

SO CERTIFIED, this Twenty-Ninth day of July 2016.

<u>s/Will Bardwell</u> William B. Bardwell, MS Bar # 102910