### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI JACKSON DIVISION

CIRILA BALTAZAR CRUZ and R.J.M.B. by and through her Next Friend, Cirila Baltazar Cruz	) .)) )	Case No. 3:10-cv-446
Plaintiffs	)	HTWLRA
	Ĵ	
V.	)	
	)	
MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF	)	
HUMAN SERVICES, SINGING RIVER	)	
HEALTH SYSTEM D/B/A SINGING	)	
RIVER HOSPITAL, and VICKI HAYES,	)	
RALPH (MATT) MATHEWS, JESSIE	)	
BETHER, and ABIGAIL MEDINA,	)	
individually,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

# FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

# PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiffs in this lawsuit are a Mexican immigramoman, Cirila Baltazar Cruz, and her minor daughter, R.J.M.B., a U.S. citizen, whoesetitutional rights to family integrity were violated by employees and/or agents of the Missetist Department of Human Services ("MDHS") and Singing River Hospital ("Singing Riv") Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz is a member of the Chatino indigenous group from southern Mexicod speaks limited Spanish and virtually no English. The individual defendants conspiredetroove R.J.M.B. from her mother in order to place the infant child in the custody of a whitedbattorney couple who were seeking to adopt and who frequently practiced before the same jurdige sanctioned the removal. In doing so, the individual Defendants deliberately took advgetaf Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz's indigence, inability to speak or understand English, and **lat** familiarity with the U.S. legal system in order to attempt to remove R.J.M.B. permanently of from mother.

2. Two days after Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz gave birdhher daughter, R.J.M.B., at Singing River in Pascagoula, Mississippi, MDHS **Drefan**t Vicki Hayes ("Defendant Hayes") removed R.J.M.B. from her mother's custody and **caret**he basis of patently and facially unreliable and deliberately false information potend by Singing River "patient advocate" Defendant Abigail Medina ("Defendant Medina") anid ging River social worker Jessie Bether ("Defendant Bether"). Defendant Hayes and her subper, Defendant Ralph (Matt) Mathews ("Defendant Mathews"), refused to conduct any inethether investigation into these obviously flawed allegations before removing R.J.M.B. from ineother's custody. In violation of Plaintiffs' due process rights, Defendants Hayes Mathews continued to forcibly separate R.J.M.B. from her mother despite their awareneest the original allegations against Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz were false. Defendants Hayes, Mathewather, and Medina, together and in concert with the Youth Court judge and the fosterepts, manipulated the child welfare system in an attempt to deny Plaintiffs equal protection o

5.

14. At all times relevant to this action, Vicki Hayesaswa case worker employed by the Jackson County office of MDHS. Defendant Hayessuised in her individual capacity.

15. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant **Ha**ywas a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985.

16. At all times relevant to this action, Defendantsyets actions were taken under color of law.

17. At all times relevant to this action, Ralph (Matti)athews was an area social work supervisor employed by the Jackson County DepartmorénHuman Services. Defendant Mathews is sued in his individual capacity.

18. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Maaturs was a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985.

19. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Meaves' actions were taken under color of law.

20. As Defendant Hayes' supervisor, Defendant Matheimsectly participated in the R.J.M.B. case from its earliest stages, by actively part in the decision to remove R.J.M.B. from her mother's custody and in the later pretextovestigation of Ms. Baltazar Cruz, and by monitoring and directing Defendant Hayes' work be case.

#### The Singing River Defendants

21. Singing River Hospital ("Singing River"), a divisio of Singing River Health Systems, is a community-owned hospital as define d/lbss. CODE ANN. § 41-13-10 and is a political subdivision of the state of Mississippi.

22. Singing River receives and uses federal fundinthenadministration of its activities and programs.

23. At all times relevant to this action, Jessie Bethaes an employee or agent of Singing River Hospital. Defendant Bether is sued in helivingual capacity.

24. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Magert was a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985.

25. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant **Beets** actions were taken under color of law.

26. At all times relevant to this action, Abigail Medinwas an employee or agent of Singing River Hospital. Defendant Medina is sure dier individual capacity.

27. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Mineadwas a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985.

28. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Ninheads actions were taken under color of law.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

29. Plaintiff Cirila Baltazar Cruz is an indigenous Mean immigrant from the state of Oaxaca. She is a member of the indigenous Chatimonunity and speaks Chatino as her primary language.

30. Ms. Baltazar Cruz has limited Spanish proficiency airtually no understanding of English. She has completed the equivalent of stage and is unable to read or write in any language.

31. In November 2008, Ms. Baltazar Cruz was living **arout**king in Pascagoula, Mississippi. She was pregna(d)-0.956417()-0.476985(i)]TJ 252 un79.54093(S)-5.07194(h) actine h-0

and summoned police assistance in getting to the spitted. Shortly after her arrival at Defendant Singing River, she gave birth to a healthy girly. R.B.

33. Ms. Baltazar Cruz remained at Singing River duthors night of November 16, 2008. 34. At some point during the morning of November 17022,0a representative from the Singing River social services department visited Boltatazar Cruz in her hospital room, accompanied by Defendant Abigail Medina, a Spanjadeaking "patient advocate" and an employee or agent of Singing River.

35. The social services department representative **æneh D** ant Medina attempted to speak with Ms. Baltazar Cruz in Spanish and English Chatino-speaking individual assisted with interpretation. Ms. Baltazar Cruz did notly ulinderstand what they were communicating to her. Ms. Baltazar Cruz told Defendant Medin**æt th**e did not understand what Defendant Medina was saying. Knowing that Ms. Baltazar **Csuzo** mprehension was limited, Defendant Medina used hand gestures and repeated her statetore Ms. Baltazar Cruz multiple times.

36. Later in the day on November 17, 2008, Defendandi Mae and the other Singing River employee returned to Ms. Baltazar Cruz's rozond questioned her about her living situation. Ms. Baltazar Cruz attempted to explaint the worked at a Chinese restaurant and lived in employer-provided housing. Defendant Macdiasked Ms. Baltazar Cruz where she planned to live when she left the hospital. Mstatizar Cruz stated that she would return to the apartment in which she had been living. Defended the daughter, even though no order of any kind had been entered that authorized R.J.M.B.'s deterati Singing River Hospital.

37. Ms. Baltazar Cruz remained at Singing River Hospitaring the night of November17, 2008.

43. Following this conversation, Defendant Medina—oddesthe presence of Ms. Baltazar Cruz—told Mr. Mendez that Ms. Baltazar **£st**ated she was trading sex for housing and intended to give R.J.M.B. up for adoption. Mendez was incredulous and told Defendant Medina that he believed Ms. Baltazar Cruz had **moteus**tood what Defendant Medina was saying. Defendant Medina insisted that Ms. Baltaza 48. Upon information and belief, on or about Novemb**ziof** 18, 2008, Defendant Bether also instructed Signing River social wor**klan**cy Fagan to report Baltazar Cruz to federal immigration authorities, which Fagan did.

49. Neither federal nor state law required Defendanthereor anyone else at Singing River to report Baltazar Cruz to the MississippioAntey General's office.

50. Neither federal nor state law required Defendanthereor anyone else at Singing River to report Baltazar Cruz to federal immigration there in the state of the

51. At around 12:00 p.m. on November 18, 2008, DefetedBether, Medina and Hayes returned to Ms. Baltazar Cruz's room and reiterathed she could not leave the hospital with her newborn daughter, R.J.M.B. When Defendants Hayles jina, and Bether told Ms. Baltazar Cruz that she would not be permitted to take R.B.M. ith her when she left the hospital, there was not any court order directing that R.J.M.Btableen into MDHS custody or otherwise authorizing the detention of R.J.M.B. at Singingeti

52. Around this time, Defendants Medina, Hayes, an Bletcher ordered Mr. Mendez to leave the room again. When he declined, Defendetants and/or Bether threatened to call the police. Defendants also requested to see Mr. Metsidetentification, and proceeded to make a copy of it.

53. A notation on R.J.M.B.'s physician orders from Nonbeer 18, 2008 stated "mother is not to see infant."

54.

55.

60. During the afternoon of November 18, 2008, Ms. **Exait** Cruz was discharged from Singing River Hospital. Following Defendants Meadin and Bether's instructions, Ms. Baltazar Cruz and Mr. Mendez went to the Salvation Army terreih Pascagoula in the hope that they would be able to see R.J.M.B. in the morning ase Defant Medina had promised.

61. During the afternoon of November 18, 2008, aftefreibelants Medina and Bether had told Ms. Baltazar Cruz that R.J.M.B. would not becklarged with her, the Youth Court of

65. During the night of November 18, 2008, Ms. Balta **Zau**z and Mr. Mendez slept at the Salvation Army Shelter in Pascagoula as Deficts daledina and Bether had instructed. Ms. Baltazar Cruz suffered great anguish during the traig the Salvation Army shelter, as she experienced post-partum bleeding, was unable tastifieed her newborn daughter, and was distraught about her separation from R.J.M.B.

66. During the evening at the Salvation Army shelter, Mendez called Defendant Medina several times on the cell phone number **ablegiven** him, but she did not answer. He left a message asking Defendant Medina to call**braic**k. She never returned the call.

67. On the morning of November 19, 2008, Ms. Baltazauz Cand Mr. Mendez went to Singing River to seek information about R.J.M.Bhe/M Ms. Baltazar Cruz and Mr. Mendez arrived at Singing River, they were told that R.JBMwas no longer there.

68. Unbeknownst to Ms. Baltazar Cruz, Douglas L. Typlesand Wendy Types had picked up R.J.M.B. from Singing River that morning taken her to their home.

69. At Singing River, Ms. Baltazar Cruz and Mr. Mendlezd unsuccessfully to obtain information about R.J.M.B.'s whereabouts. Theyked for Defendant Medina but were initially unable to find her. Instead, a Singing remployee handed them contact information for Defendant Hayes. Later, they encountered Dotefort Mehome.B.i ei a 17(2)500]TJ -228.4389(e)3.16 Baltazar Cruz and es g gRende

Baltazar Cruz, a woman who worked at the clinickspto Defendant Hayes over the telephone. Defendant Hayes informed the clinic worker thatearing would take place at the Jackson County Youth Court that afternoon. The clinic werkocated Elizabeth Bjork, an individual who spoke both English and Spanish, to accompanyBaltsazar Cruz and Mr. Mendez to the hearing.

72.

recommend that R.J.M.B. remain in MDHS custodye **T**burt agreed to continue to withhold custody of R.J.M.B. from Ms. Baltazar Cruz.

78. Through Ms. Bjork, Ms. Baltazar Cruz asked the **tojus**he could see her three-day old child. The court denied her request.

79. Upon instruction from Judge Sigalas, after the **ingat** the Jackson County Youth Court, Defendant Hayes, Defendant Mathews, and <u>digutated litem</u> Terry Holtz went to Ms. Baltazar Cruz's home to conduct a "home study."**feDe** ant Mathews also directly supervised and reviewed the work of Defendant Hayes during "**tim**ivestigatory" phase of the case. Several Pascagoula police officers followed Mstabatr Cruz, Mr. Mendez, and the abovelisted individuals to Ms. Baltazar Cruz's residen At least one Pascagoula police detective accompanied them inside the apartment.

80. Defendant Hayes' notes from the visit fail to documnany conversation with Ms. Baltazar Cruz and instead contain a cursory physics cription of the apartment, noting such details as "[Ms. Baltazar Cruz's] mattress is non-pletely covered."

81. Defendants Hayes and Mathews encountered a 160 Job Defendants Hayes and Mathews encountered a 160 Job Defendant where Ms. Baltazar Cruz **main** der residence. The girl and her mother shared a room separate from that of Msa Balt Cruz. Defendant Hayes recorded in her notes of the visit that the girl stated that she have mother "found the apartments through the owner of the restaurant where she works." This estent was consistent with Ms. Baltazar Cruz's description of her living arrangement.

82. Upon investigation of Ms. Baltazar Cruz's residence

89. Upon information and belief, Defendants Hayes aradhedws conducted no additional investigation of Ms. Baltazar Cruz'sirlig situation.

90. On December 17, 2008, the Jackson County Youtht Candjudicated R.J.M.B. neglected. The basis for the neglect petition thrats Ms. Baltazar Cruz "neglected to provide adequate care and supervision" for her child. Nat Co interpreter assisted Ms. Baltazar Cruz during the court proceedings. The court recortects that Ms. Baltazar Cruz, via attorney John Foxworth, pleaded no contest to the neglect petitie ecause of the language barrier, however, Ms. Baltazar Cruz did not understand the proceeding which she was embroiled, including the charges against her, what her attorney was pleading her behalf, or the consequences of this plea.

91. The petition specifically alleged thMC C.86125( )-0.4Ms. pleltazarre7(r)2.3678(e)3.15 hthoce888186125(g)9.06272(a)3 Defendants Hayes and Mathews did not inquire as to

#### Case 3:10-cv-00446-HTW-FKB Document 95 Filed 08/21/12 Page 18 of 39

during the hearing, did not offer any additionadations to permit visitation between Ms. Baltazar Cruz and R.J.M.B.

99. Upon information and belief, MDHS made no attemptointact Ms. Baltazar Cruz during January 2009. R.J.M.B. continued to livehwine Tynes, whose residence remained unlicensed as a foster care home.

100. During January 2009, while custody of R.J.M.B. riemed with MDHS, Defendants Hayes and Mathews made no effort to allow Ms. BealtaCruz to see her child.

101. During a hearing on January 28, 2009, Judge Signaldsguardian ad litem Terry Holtz recommended that Ms. Baltazar Cruz learn Englhould she wish to be reunited with R.J.M.B.

102. During the January 28, 2009 hearing, unlicensette foreother Wendy Tynes expressed her opposition to reunification between Bolaltazar Cruz and her daughter, claiming, among other things, that returning 10-week old NR.B. to her mother would cause "developmental" problems because Ms. Baltazar Count not communicate with her daughter in English. Judge Sigalas agreed and reiterated Holtz's recommendation that Ms. Baltazar Cruz learn English as part of her "service agreet" with MDHS. Following the hearing, MDHS continued its placement of R.J.M.B. with there as

103. During February 2009, R.J.M.B. continued to livehythe Tynes, whose residence remained unlicensed as a foster care home.

104. On or about February 25, 2009, Ms. Baltazar Cruzz Rsal.M.B. for the first time since MDHS separated them at the hospital overethmenths earlier, in a visit held at the Youth Court visitation room.

mariel Plat (601/12 Page 20 of 38

#### Case 3:10-cv-00446-HTW-FKB Document 95 Filed 08/21/12 Page 21 of 39

116. At the conclusion of the May 13, 2009 hearing, Jußigalas ordered MDHS to prepare a package to terminate Ms. Baltazar Cpuzr'ental rights. Judge Sigalas also ordered visitation between Ms. Baltazar Cruz and her daergtot cease.

117. Ms. Baltazar Cruz's attorney appealed the ordernftoe May 13, 2009 hearing to the Mississippi Supreme Court.

118. Upon information and belief, from November 2008bthgh at least May 2009,

Defendants Hayes and Mathews, Judge Sigalas, thes, Jand/or guardian ad litem Terry Holtz, engaged in multiple discussions outside the presend without the knowledge of Ms. Baltazar Cruz and her attorney abo<u>ut, inter</u> alia, the custor dceedings involving Ms. Baltazar Cruz and R.J.M.B., the terms of any visitation permitted **Mss**. Baltazar Cruz and her daughter, and Ms. Baltazar Cruz's appeal of the Youth Court's May **20**09 order.

119. On or about June 22, 2009, MDHS submitted a tertition approximation of parental rights package to the Mississippi Attorney General's Office, streagt in motion the formal legal process to sever permanently Ms. Baltazar Cruz's legal rights to them six-month old daughter, R.J.M.B.

120. Ms. Baltazar Cruz was prohibited from seeing hergebleer during the remainder of May, as well as for the entirety of June, July, Assig and September of 2009. During this time, R.J.M.B. remained in the legal custody of MDHS in the physical custody of Douglas L. Tynes, Jr. and Wendy Tynes.

121. In August 2009, the United States Department of the earned Human Services (HHS) Office for Civil Rights (OCR) and the HHS Adminiation for Children and Families (ACF) began investigations into MDHS' handling of R.J.MsBcase. OCR also opened an investigation into Defendant Singing River's action the matter.

#### Case 3:10-cv-00446-HTW-FKB Document 95 Filed 08/21/12 Page 22 of 39

122. On September 23, 2009, after the commencement defailed investigations into the Baltazar Cruz case, Judge Sigalas recused hereself the case, citing the fact that foster parents Douglas L. Tynes, Jr. and Wendy Tynes "regular by chice law" before her court. The same day, Prosecutor Michael Breland also moved to writing from the case, noting that the Tynes were members of the legal community of Jackson **Could** ississippi. Mr. Holtz later moved to withdraw as guardian ad litem to R.J.M.B., alsing this acquaintance with the foster parents. 123. Until federal authorities began investigating MDHB indling of the R.J.M.B. case,

Defendant Hayes remained the primary case work democrase.

124. Until federal authorities began investigating MDHospossible civil rights violations as well as violations of federal law governing forstare subsidies, MDHS made no efforts to reunify Ms. Baltazar Cruz and R.J.M.B.

125. On November 19, 2009, Ms. Baltazar Cruz regained ipal custody of R.J.M.B.

126. On February 19, 2010, Ms. Baltazar Cruz was grapteen hanent legal custody of R.J.M.B. and MDHS was ordered to close R.J.M.Bisseca

127. Due to Defendants Hayes', Mathews', Bether's and Mae's unconstitutional actions, Ms. Baltazar Cruz lost custody of R.J.MdBring the first year of her daughter's life and was only able to see R.J.M.B. four times from vehiber 18, 2008 through October 2009.

128. Defendants Hayes, Mathews, and Medina conspired onite another and Judge Sigalas, guardia<u>n ad lite</u>m Holtz, and the Tynestetoy Ms. Baltazar Cruz and R.J.M.B. their constitutional rights to family integrity becaus fet 0s. Baltazar Cruz's race and/or national origin by unlawfully removing R.J.M.B. from her not retrive custody just two days after birth. Defendants' arbitrary and egregious conduct sultially ninterfered with Plaintiffs' constitutionally-protected right to family integrit

#### Case 3:10-cv-00446-HTW-FKB Document 95 Filed 08/21/12 Page 23 of 39

129. As a direct result of Defendants' unconstitution a and b and and b and b and and b and b and b and b and b and and and a

130. As a direct result of Defendants' unconstitutio**act**ions which separated her from her mother during the first year of her life, Pt**äf**rR.J.M.B. suffered substantial damages.

131. Due to state actors' unconstitutional interfereinde Plaintiffs' family relationship, Ms. Baltazar /R13 12 opportunity to bond during the formative first year of RR13 12J.M.B.'s life. Plaintiff Baltazaras deprived of the chance to see her daughter grow her first tooth, learn to crawl, antider her first words. For the first year of her life, Plaintiff RR13 12J.M.B. was denied the chance the chance has keel family and their language and culture, to be soothed and fed by her mother, and ognize her mother's voice and touch. Plaintiff Baltazar /R13 12 J.M.B., to comfort her when she was sick or distressed, to sing her to sleep, and to watch to a toddler. Because the foster parents who sought to adopt R.J.M.B. called by a different name, as R.J.M.B. began to gain recognition of and respond to words duringfitse year of her life, she did not even know her real name. Plaintiff Baltazar /R13 12 ff R.J.M.B. experienced the profound psychological ha]TJ 25(n)-m of sepa]TJ 25(n)-atidants' aunesulstatuben al actions.

# CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

### COUNT I

#### 42 U.S.C. § 1983 CLAIMS ARISING FROM VIOLATIONS OF AND CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE PLAINTIFFS' FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT SUBSTANTIV E DUE PROCESS RIGHTS (DEFENDANTS HAYES, MATHEWS, BETHER, AND MEDINA)

132. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.

133. Plaintiffs assert these claims pursuant to 42 U.§. @83 against Defendants Hayes,

Mathews, Bether, and Medina according to the sipepairameters detailed below.

134. Defendants Hayes', Mathews', Bether's, and Medi**aatis**ons occurred under color of state law for the purposes of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

135. Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz has a constitutionally-percted liberty interest in the care,

companionship, upbringing and nurture of her chRdaintiff R.J.M.B. enjoys a parallel liberty

interest in being raised and nurtured by her biolalgmother.

136. Defendants Hayes, Mathews, Bether, and Medinau Mylfdeliberately, and without justification, violated Plaintiffs' clearly establied Fourteenth Amendment substantive due process right to family integrity. Defendants' iaos subjected Plaintiffs to egregious, arbitrary,

138. Defendants Bether's and Medina's fabricated al**lega**tand willful and reckless misrepresentations to MDHS set in motion a chaieveefnts that they knew or reasonably should have known would lead to the deprivation of Plátis tisubstantive due process rights to family integrity. Defendants Bether's and Medina's reipogrof known falsehoods to MDHS, which initiated unjustified intervention into Plaintiffs mily life, was arbitrary and egregious.

139. Defendants Hayes and Mathews deprived Plaintifts eifr constitutional right to

utter refusal to make efforts to reunite mother **ahitd**, and their failure to follow applicable MDHS statutes, regulations, and internal policies.

143. Defendants Hayes and Mathews further violated **Plfa** insubstantive due process rights to family integrity by seeking to termina **Re** intiff Baltazar Cruz's parental rights to R.J.M.B. permanently and to ensure that the Types dcadopt R.J.M.B.

144. Defendants Hayes, Mathews, Bether, and Medinaulijifand maliciously conspired with one another and Judge Sharon Sigalas, DolugTagnes, Jr. and Wendy Tynes, and/or guardian <u>ad litem</u> Terry Holtz to deprive Plaintiffstheir Fourteenth Amendment substantive due process rights. Defendants Hayes and Mathemaspired with Judge Sigalas to transfer custody of R.J.M.B. to the Tynes directly upon **the**d's discharge from Singing River, knowing that the Tynes sought to adopt and integntbirfacilitate their potential adoption by depriving Plaintiffs of their substantive due processerights. Defendants Hayes, Mathews, Bether, and Medina conspired with one another and JudgelaSigthe Tynes, and guardian ad litem Holtz to cause and perpetuate the separation df/IBJ from her mother despite their S for adoption by the Tynes, a white family. The step ans were motivated by an impermissible bias against Ms. Baltazar Cruz due to her status Maexican woman of indigenous descent. Defendants furthered the goals of Judge Sigalashaen Tynes to effect uate a forced, permanent transfer of custody of R.J.M.B. from Ms. Baltazaru Cto the Tynes.

146. Defendants Hayes', Mathews', Bether's, and Medi**aets**ions severely subverted the integrity of Plaintiffs' family relationship and **cased** Plaintiff R.J.M.B. to be separated from her natural mother from the time she was two days **olid** after her first birthday, and caused Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz to miss the first year **cerhd**aughter's life.

# COUNT II

### 42 U.S.C. § 1983 CLAIMS ARISING FROM VIOLATIONS OF AND CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE PLAINTIFFS' FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS (DEFENDANTS HAYES, MATHEWS, BETHER, AND MEDINA)

147. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by referent elegations set forth in the

#### Case 3:10-cv-00446-HTW-FKB Document 95 Filed 08/21/12 Page 28 of 39

Medina and Bether acted knowingly and maliciouolydeparate Ms. Baltazar Cruz and her newborn daughter by intentionally and recklessporting fabricated allegations to MDHS.

151. Defendants Bether's and Medina's deliberate reporting known falsehoods to MDHS set in motion a chain of events that they known we asonably should have known would lead to the deprivation of Plaintiffs' Fourteent In Andment procedural due process rights.

152. Defendants Haves and Mathews deprived Plaintifts eif Fourteenth Amendment rights to procedural due process by, inter aliginfato investigate any of the allegations that Defendant Medina leveled against Ms. Baltazar Gndz by deliberately or recklessly reporting false statements of neglect when seeking an ex pastody order from the Jackson County Youth Court. Defendants Hayes and Mathews knetwrthat axigent circumstances existed that would have justified their efforts to initiate countervention to remove R.J.M.B. from her mother's custody without a pre-deprivation adverstarearing, yet they maliciously denied Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz her right to be heard tonteest the false accusations leveled against her. 153. Defendants Hayes and Mathews further deprived fffain f their Fourteenth Amendment procedural due process rights by refusingovide adequate language interpretation during the investigatory stagesheft case. Upon information and belief, Defendants Hayes and Mathews also deliberateledad inform Ms. Baltazar Cruz of the November 19, 2008 hearing, with the intent of caquitage hearing to proceed without Ms. Baltazar Cruz's presence.

154. Defendants Hayes and Mathews continued to viol **atient** of the procedural due process rights after taking custody of R.J.M.Bfatilying to provide adequate language interpretation to communicate with Ms. Baltazar **£aun**d by failing almost entirely to communicate with Ms. Baltazar Cruz during a period approximately ten months after they

took custody of her newborn daughter, thus depgi Wits. Baltazar Cruz of her right to be heard to challenge her continued separation from R.J.M.B.

155. Defendants Hayes, Mathews, Bether, and Medinaullijlfand maliciously conspired with one another and Judge Sharon Sigalas, Doluglagenes, Jr. and Wendy Tynes, and/or guardian ad litem Terry Holtz to deprive Plaintiffstheir Fourteenth Amendment procedural due process rights when faced with the state-teidialestruction of their family by commencing 159. Defendants Medina and Bether deprived Plaintiff. **M.B.** of her Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable seizure by **timp** fabricated allegations regarding Ms. Baltazar Cruz to MDHS and by intentionally and **lesk**ly misrepresenting and omitting material facts when they reported "neglect" of **tokey** old R.J.M.B to MDHS.

160. Defendants Bether's and Medina's deliberate re**po**rtoif known falsehoods to MDHS set in motion a chain of events that they known we asonably should have known would lead to the unconstitutional seizure of Plaintiful **R**. B. by the state. Deliberately manipulating Ms. BR

#### COUNT IV

### <u>42 U.S.C. § 1983 CLAIMS ARISING FROM VIOLATIONS OF PLAINTIFFS'</u> <u>FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO EQUAL PROTECTION OF T HE LAWS</u> (DEFENDANTS HAYES, MATHEWS, BETHER, AND MEDINA)

164. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.

165. On the basis of Plaintiffs' Latino, Hispanic, and/iodigenous racial background and Ms. Baltazar Cruz's non-American national origindammigrant status, Defendants Hayes, Mathews, Bether, and Medina deprived Plaintiffshortin clearly-established right to equal protection of the laws. Defendants Hayes, Mathebesher, and Medina subjected Plaintiffs to different treatment than that received by similability ated individuals. The different and inferior treatment, included, inter alia, forcibly separgtMs. Baltazar Cruz and her daughter two days after birth for reasons related to Ms. Baltazar Cruze, color, national origin, and immigrant status, and Defendants Hayes and Mathews' effortier minate Ms. Baltazar Cruz's parental rights permanently and hastily so that R.J.M.B.Icdobe raised with the white American couple with whom she had been placed.

166. Based on animus against and stereotypical perceptibMs. Baltazar Cruz based on her race, color, and/or national origin, Defendabitsher and Medina willfully or recklessly initiated MDHS interference into Ms. Baltazar Cauzd R.J.M.B.'s protected family relationship in a discriminatory manner. Defendants Bether Maled in a discriminatorily fabricated and reported false allegations against Plaintiff Balta2ruz to MDHS with the intent and effect of triggering destructive state interference into male in DefenBaltazar Cruz's family life. In so doing, Defendant Bether emphasized her belief in DefenBaltazar Cruz's status as an undocumented Mexican immigrant as a key reason for initiating MIS intervention and attempted to turn

marial Part (601/12 Page 32 of 38

intent evidenced by, inter alia, Defendants Hagess Mathews' insistence that Ms. Baltazar Cruz learn English and forego her constitution pligtected rights to raise her daughter to speak Chatino and in accordance with her cultural presstic

# COUNT V

### 42 U.S.C. § 1983 CLAIMS ARISING FROMVIOLATIONS OF AND CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE 42 U.S.C. § 1981 UNDER COLOR OF LAW (DEFENDANTS HAYES AND MATHEWS)

171. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by referent ellegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.

172. As set forth <u>sup</u>ra, Defendants Hayes and Mathe Wisuliyi and maliciously conspired with one another and Judge Sharon Sig **Datas** glas L. Tynes, Jr. and Wendy Tynes, and/or guardian <u>ad litem</u> Terry Holtz to deprive in **Riff** Cirila Baltazar Cruz of her right to attend and to meaningfully participate in the people gs through which she was separated from R.J.M.B.

173. Defendants Hayes and Mathews were motivated by using ainst Plaintiffs based on Plaintiffs' race and/or national origin when ythe plaintiffs of their rights.

174. In conspiring and taking the actions described supr

### COUNT VI

### VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) (DEFENDANTS HAYES, MATHEWS, BETHER, AND MEDINA)

177. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all begations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.

178. Defendants Hayes, Mathews, Bether, and Medinaollabooration with one another and Judge Sigalas, Douglas L. Tynes, Jr., and Weinders, and/or guardian ad lite Trefrery Holtz conspired, agreed, planned, coordinated, and the purpose of depriving Plaintiffs of their equal protection rights including, inteleatheir right to be free from arbitrary, egregious, and oppressive interference with threiter ted family relationship, their right to be provided with fundamentally fair procedures where the with the disruption of their family relationships, and Plaintiff R.J.M.B.'s right under Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable seizures.

179. Defendants Hayes, Mathews, Bether, and Medina meterated by animus against

## COUNT VII

### VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. § 2000det seg. (DEFENDANTS MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICE S AND SINGING RIVER HOSPITAL)

182. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein.

183. Defendants MDHS and Singing River, at all time**sva**ht to this Complaint, received federal funding in the administration **befit** activities, services, and programs.

184. As recipients of federal financial assistance, **Defants MDHS** and Singing River

were at all relevant times bound by the require  $s_0 e_{f}$  title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964,

42 U.S.C. § 2000d, which prohibits excluding, dengyior subjecting any person to

she and her daughter were subjected; denying Mtazaa Cruz access to the visitation and reunification processes and programs afforded to a formation processes aff

187. As set forth supra, Defendant Singing River violate

190. Defendants Medina, Hayes, and Mathews acted masilyicand in the absence of probable cause to commence a Youth Court proceedinglying R.J.M.B. and against Plaintiff Baltazar Cruz.

191. The proceeding terminated with a ruling in PlafrBialtazar Cruz's favor, resulting in the custody of R.J.M.B. being returned to Pianet to Pianet and the custody of R.J.M.B. being returned

192. As a result of the maliciously-instituted proce**gg** plaintiffs Baltazar Cruz and R.J.M.B. were separated by the state for over **a grea** suffered substantial damages.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that there be judgmeendered herein in favor of

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this date electronlycaled the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will automative e-mail notification to the following attorneys for the Defendants:

Harold Edward Pizzetta, III Wilson D. Minor Office of the Attorney General Civil Litigation Division Post Office Box 220 Jackson, Mississippi 39205 hpizz@ago.state.ms.us wmino@ago.state.ms.us

Attorneys for Mississippi Department of Human SesviVicki Hayes, and Ralph Matt Mathews

Roy C. Williams Kevin Melchi Wilkinson, Williams, Kinard, Smith & Edwards Pascagoula Office of Dogan & Wilkinson, PLLC 734 Delmas Avenue P.O. Box 1618 Pascagoula, MS 39568-1618 rwilliams@doganwilkinson.com Attorney for Singing River Health System and Abligedina

/s/ Kristi L. Graunke