IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE KNOXVILLE DIVISION

ISABEL ZELAYA, GERONIMO
GUERRERO, CAROLINA ROMULO
MENDOZA, LUIS BAUTISTA
MARTÍNEZ, MARTHA PULIDO,
CATARINO ZAPOTE HERNÁNDEZ, and
MARIA DEL PILAR GONZALEZ CRUZ,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JERE MILES, Special Agent in Charge, Homeland Security Inve

INTRODUCTION

1. In April 2018, officers from U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"),
Homeland Security Operations ("HSI"), Enforcement and Removal Operations ("ERO"), ¹ and
the Tennessee Highway Patrol ("THP") descended on the Southeastern Provision meatpacking
plant ("Plant") in Bean Station, Tennessee, a small town in the far eastern corner of the state.
Heavily armed, the officers formed a perimeter around the plant and blocked every exit. They
used official vehicles to seal off the one public road to the Plant. Law enforcement helicopters
flew above the Plant, securing and surveilling the premises. In the Plant's parking lot, several
vans and large bags of plastic "zip tie" handcuffs waited to be used. Moments later, dozens of
armed officers in bullet-proof vests rushed into the Plant. They quickly fanned out, many with
their firearms drawn, and screamed at the workers inside to stop moving. The workers, terrified
and confused, feared the commotion was a terrorist attack, a mass shooting, or a fire.

2. oriersrrenfeltiore.Rad tr.ed

search warrant for documents in hand, theoffs executed the largesorkplace immigration raid in nearly a decade. Theorefully seized and arrested approximately 100 Latino workers. In the process, the officers been the workers with racial slurs, punched one worker in the face, and shoved firearms in the faces of many othereanwhile, the officers did not detain the Plant's white workers or subject them to theme intrusive and aggressive treatment and prolonged detention that theatino workers experienced.

4. Many of the Latino workers were long-term pelonyees of the Plant who had spent years performing the dangerous work endemic tous the latino workers were long-term pelonyees of the Plant who had spent years

made arrests without a valid arrest warrant, profesoause that each worker had violated U.S. immigration or criminal laws, owny exigent circumstances. Assecuting some of these arrests, the federal officers us dotutal and excessive for without any provocation.

7.

12. Plaintiff Geronimo Guerrero

- 19. Defendant Robert Hammer ("Defendant Hammer") was **atl** times relevant to this action an Assistant Special Agent in Charge **Wi81**. He oversaw the Southeastern Provision raid. Defendant Hammer is eatin his individual capacity.
- 20. Defendant David Vicente ("Defendant Vicente") was at laimes relevant to this action an Agent of ICE ERO Knoxville. He participed in the planning and execution of the Southeastern Provision raid Defendant Vicente is sued in individual capacity.
- 21. Defendant Francisco Ayala ("Defendant Ayala") was at all times relevant to this action an Agent of ICE ERO. He participated time planning and execution of the Southeastern Provision raid. Defendant Ayala sisted in his individual capacity.
- 22. Defendan Billy Riggins ("Defendant Riggins") was at all mes relevant to this action a Special Agent of ICE. He participated the planning and execution of the Southeastern Provision raid. Defendant Riggins is sued in its individual capacity.
- 23. Defendant/William Hinkle ("Defendant Hinkle") was at atlmes relevant to this action a Deportation Officer of ICE. He participated time planning and execution of the Southeastern Provision raid. Defendant/linkle is sued in his individual capacity.
- 24. DefendantAnthony Martin ("Defendant Martin") was at bilimes relevant to this action a Deportation Officer of ICE. Hearticipated in the planning at execution of the Southeastern Provision raid. Defendant Martin ssued in his individual capacity.
- 25. Defendant M. Grooms ("Defendant Grooms") was at all times relevant to this action a Deportation Officer of ICE. He participated time planning and execution of the Southeastern Provision raid. Defendant Grooms is adun his individual capacity.

- 26. Defendant Scott Pa ("Defendant Pa") was at all time relevant to this action a Special Agent of ICE. He participated in the planniang dexecution of the Southstern Provision raid. Defendant Pa is sued in individual capacity.
- 27. The identities and capacities of Defends allows 1 through 30 are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, and on this basis, they sue these deficits doy fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend the Complaint to substitute the true names capacities of the Doe Defendants when they are ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, threateon allege that Does 1 through 30 are, and were at all times relevant to this action, employ and/or agents of ICE, HSI, and/or ERO and are responsible for the acts and omissions trained of herein including, but not limited to, their unlawful seizure and arrest, and violation their Fourth and Fish Amendment rights.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 28. Plaintiffs Maria del Pilar Gonzalez Craznd Catarino Zapote Hernández ("Class Representative Plaintiffs") seeds bring this class action agast the Defendants on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated workers in the Plant on April 5, 2018 who were targeted by the Defendantsh spiracy to detain every workin the Plant solely on the basis of their actual or appared atino race or ethnicity.
- 29. The Class Representative Plaintiffs seektrog as a class action the claim set forth in Count I ("Equal Protection Class Claim") underderal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3), for their requests for damages.isTanction satisfies tenumerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and simpley requirements of hose provisions.
 - 30. Plaintiffs Gonzalez Cruz and Zapote Herdéz seek to certify the following Class:

All individuals who are or papeared to be of Latino race or ethnicity who were orking at the Plant the morning of April 5, 2018.

- 31. The Class Representative Plaintiffs' propostals meets the prerequisites of Rule 23(a):
- 1. Numerosity: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The Class Representative Pffairthelieve that the Class consists of approximately 100 individuals. Membershiptine Class is readilyscertainable from Defendants' arrest records from the day ofrthie and Defendants' public statements regarding the raid.
- 2. Commonality: There are numerous question fact common to the Class, and those issues predominate any equestion affecting nly individual Class Members. The common legal and factual is sincles de, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) Whether the Defendants' conduct set ionuparagraphs 48-100 and Count I violated the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.
- (b) Whether the Defendants conspired/itolate the rights of the Class under the equal protection componenttbe Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution Trace (e) Tiju & Times I ye 2004 o -2.295 TD .001 Tc 0 Tw ((b)) Tj /TT7 1 Tf 1.165 0 TD 0

(d)

6. Superiority: The Class RepresentatiPeaintiffs and Class Members have all suffered damages as a result of Defeters' wrongful conduct. A class action is

- 37. Inside the Plant there are three offices, caker room, bathrooms, several large freezer sections, a processing area, and a "kill flood-ome of the areas are receiparated by solid doors or walls, but rather are completely openseparated by clear, heavy curtains.
- 38. The workers stored personal items in that area and would return their uniforms there at the beginning their shift.
- 39. The processing area was one of two main works in the Plant. In the processing area, workers prepared and packaged cutsness to be distributed for sale.
 - 40. Approximately fifty workers were working in the processing area on April 5, 2018.
- 41. The second main work area at the Plant who will floor," which is where workers butchered and cut apart the cown be processed into meat.
 - 42. Approximately forty workers were woining on the "kill floor" on April 5, 2018.
- 43. The Plant's physical and electronic documents were ored in offices and a locked storage room in the Plant. They are not accessible workers employed on the processing and kill floor areas.
- 44. Most people working at the Plant arrivedness ime before 7 a.m. each day, five or six days each week, to put on their uniforms and "clock-in" before the morning shift began at 7 a.m.

45.

The Internal Revenue Service Search Warrant

- 48. The federal investigation into the Plant bregas an investigation by the IRS into the owner of the plant, Mr. James Brantley ("Brlang"), related to various alleged tax and immigration law violations.
- 49. As part of that investigatin, the IRS obtained a search waterauthorizing the search for and seizure of an enumerated list of iter Sean re the Search of: 1617 Helton Road, Bean Station, TN 37708 E.D. Tenn. Apr. 2, 2018) (attached hereto as Exhibit 1) ("IRS Search Warrant"); Affidavit in Support of a Search Warrant Attachment B (attached hereto as Exhibit 2).
- 50. The items to be seized pursuant to the Search Warrant were, among other things, all "records, documents and materials...related to the financial activities of James Brastey." Ex. 1, at 5.
 - 51. The IRS Search Warrant did not authorized beention or arrest of any individual(s).
- 52. The Affidavit submitted with the IRS Search Mant relies in part on information from a Confidential Informant ("CI"). The only information provided in the Affidavit about the CI is that he or she was "working with law enforcement" he Affidavit provides no other indicia of the CI's reliability. Ex. 2, at 7-10.
- 53. The Affidavit does not state or imply any potial safety concerns involved in the execution of the IRS Search Warra tee generallid.
- 54. The Affidavit states that Plant's employees "Hispanic" on five separate occasions. See idat 7-10.

- 55. The Affidavit notes the CI observed that manitum Plant's workers are "Hispanic," and that the CI believes many are "exploited" without "legal ecourse for workplace mistreatment." Id. at 10.
- 56. The Affidavit states that "peonnel" at Brantley's bank schiduring a tour of the Plant, "they were told [by the owner's ife] that the employees were spianic and were paid weekly with cash." Id. at 7.
- 57. According to the Affidavit, HSI and THP daalready been participating in the IRS investigation of Brantley beforthe search warrant was obtained at 6.
- 58. The presence of Defendants at the Planthermorning of April 51 was pursuant to the IRS Search Warrant.
- 59. The Defendants did not obtain a separate in almost administrative warrant related to their presence and activities the Plant that day.

The Raid

- 60. The morning of April 5 began like mosther mornings at the Plant.
- 61. Plaintiffs and Class Members arrived somethrefore 7 a.m. to prepare for their shift, which began promptly at 7 a.m.
- 62. Once the shift began, Plaintiffs and Class Merabwere all working at their respective stations in the Plant.
 - 63. None of the Plaintiffs or the Class Members worked in the Plant's offices.
- 64. At around 9 a.m., near the morning break timben the workers were anticipating the opportunity to take a break from their workattend to personal needs, such as using the restroom, the raid began.

- 65. Officers from ICE, HSI, and THP formedperimeter around the plant. Multiple armed agents secured every Plant exit.
 - 66. The THP officers sealed off the one public rotathe Plant withofficial vehicles.
 - 67. THP helicopters surveilled arsolecured the Plant from above.
- 68. Dozens of officers from ICE burst, unannounded the Plant. They poured through the Plant's multiple doors and quickly fanned doutoughout the interior of the Plant.
- 69. The federal officers wore black uniforms while llet-proof vests, and they were armed. Some of the officers had the irearms on display or drawn.
- 70. The federal officers did not wear nametagsidentify themselves by name to the workers. Most officers did not verlagidentify themselves by agency.
- 71. The federal officers were yelling and lowdrdering the Plaintiffs and the Latino workers to freeze and to stop working.
- 72. The commotion caused by the federal officested and forcible entry into the Plant terrorized the Plaintiffs and the Class Members the first minutes of the raid, many workers were confused and uncertain about who the ears were or what was the purpose of their presence inside of the Plant.
 - 73. Some federal officers ordered individuates put their hands in the air.
 - 74. Some federal officers pointenance at workers while they ordered them to stop working.
- 75. Individuals who had work equipment on **thpe**rson were ordered to take off any equipment. Others were ordered to down any tools they were holding.
 - 76. None of the Latino workers were permitted to continue working.
- 77. Plaintiffs and the Latino works were not permitted to use the restroom or otherwise move freely about the Plant as the yuld have do Tof ta d -break time.

- 78. The federal officers then order the Plaintiffs and the Latinworkers to walk from their work station into a line up.
- 79. Many of the workers were restrained durthg Plant seizure with plastic zip ties, including Plaintiffs Gonzalez Oz, Zapote Hernández, Zela Paulido, Bautista Martínez, and Guerrero. Other workers witnessed the federahtsghandcuff their coworkers and were fearful that they too might be handcuffed.
- 80. After forcing the workers to up, the federal officers or deed the Plaintiffs and the other Latino workers of the Class to walk outsoid the Plant and told them to remain in line outside.
- 81. When they went outside the Plant, Plaffatisaw that the THP officers had secured the perimeter, the parking lot, and public road leading to the PtarPlaintiffs saw and heard two helicopters circling overhead.
- 82. Some of the THP officers outter stood behind large machine guns which were pointed at the Plant and the workers.
- 83. Plaintiffs and the Class Members, seeing number of officers, the firearms, the helicopters, and the policears, felt terrified.
- 84. While detained outside the Plant, the workers e not allowed to move freely or talk. When a worker attempted to speak, officers ordered them to shut up.
- 85. As a result of the actions of the Defenda **Pla**, intiffs and the **Q** ss Members were not free to leave.
- 86. Under these highly coercive conditions, the dependents interrogated some of the workers about their immigration at us at the Plant.

87. Eventually, Plaintiffs and all the Latino worksewere loaded into vans and transported to a National Guard Armory ("Armory") lotted at 5255 E. Andrew Jackson Highway,

95. The white workers were not restrained and when the handcuffed.	They did not have guns

- 103. Plaintiff Zelaya was terrified by the aggress træatment of his coworkers he observed. He feared that these two Defents awould point a firearm at the or throw him to the ground as well.
- 104. The same two Defendants ordered Plaintiff **Zelto** throw his apron and work tools on the ground. He immediately complied.
- 105. During this time, Plaintiff Zelaya saw the stellicers point a firearm at his son because he did not take off his tool but east enough. Plaintiff Zelay fee ared for his son's safety.
- 106. The same two Defendants then forced him the dother Latino workers in his work area to gather in a central area of the Plant.
 - 107. Plaintiff Zelaya is legallyauthorized to live and w/b in the United States.
- 108. While gathered with the other workers, Phtaff Zelaya told aLatino ICE officer who spoke Spanish that he had legal status and dffershow him documents as proof. He took out his Employment Authorization Cal and handed it to the officer. The ICE officer grabbed the card from him and told him in Spanish that they needed to "investigate" him. The officer then proceeded to handcuff Plaintiff Zelaya.
- 109. Once gathered, the federal officers, including Latino officer, walked Plaintiff Zelaya and the other workers outside the Plant.
 - 110. The officers then transported Plain ## laya in a van to the Armory.
- 111. The ICE officers at the Armory interrogated Phiff Zelaya. Finally, after establishing proof of his legal status, Phiff Zelaya was released.
 - 112. Plaintiff Zelaya was detained for approximately two hours.
- 113. Plaintiff Zelaya was not questioned abbit identity, work authorization, or immigration status prior to being detained at Plant and transported the Armory. Plaintiff

- 121. Plaintiff Romulo Mendoza was detæid for approximately ten hours.
- 122. Plaintiff Romulo Mendoza was nquestioned about her identiwork authorization, or immigration status prior to being detained hat Plant and transported to the Armory.

The Claims of Named Plaintiff Martha Pulido

- 123. Plaintiff Pulido was working on the kill floor area of the Plant the morning of the raid.
- 124. She suddenly heard officers ordering workterput their hands up. The Plant quickly became a chaotic scene filled with armedoeffs shouting. She observed an officer point a firearm at a woman who had tripped and falled another tall, white, male officer pushing another female worker. She also observed the protection of their pushing the protection of the protection of the protection of the plant quickly became a chaotic scene filled with armedoeffs shouting. She observed an officer point a firearm at a woman who had tripped and falled another tall, white, male officer pushing another female worker. She also observed the protection of the protection of
- 125. As a result of the Defendants' actions, Prital Pulido feared that the officers would physically harm her if she did not comply with their orders. She was terrified. She complied with their orders.
- 126. Defendants ordered Plaintiff Pulido and othe **riveo**s to exit the Plant. Once Plaintiff Pulido was outside the Plant, officers blauffed her wrists with zip ties.
- 127. During this time, Plaintiff Pludo was not free to move around even to talk. When a worker attempted to speak, officers ordered threshut up. She was extremely humiliated by this treatment. She felt like she was being treated like a dangerous criminal.
- 128. Plaintiff Pulido observed that hite workers were outside the Plant. Those workers were allowed to walk around freely, were not har fitted, and were allowed to smoke. None of the Defendants interrogated the white workers.
 - 129. Eventually, Plaintiff Pulido and other Latino workers wereatrisported to the Armory.
- 130. Upon arrival at the Armory, her personædrits were confiscated. Plaintiff Pulido was interrogated and fingerprinted. She was research zip ties until she was fingerprinted.

- 131. Plaintiff Pulido was detained fapproximately fourteen hours.
- 132. Plaintiff Pulido was not questioned about identity, work authorization, or immigration status prior to being detained the Plant and transported to the Armory.

The Claims of Named Plaintiff Geronimo Guerrero

- 133. Plaintiff Guerrero, a long-term employeedasupervisor at the Plant, was in the processing area the morning of the raid.
- 134. From his location, Plaintiff Guerrero obsedvæumerous officers with firearms inside the Plant.
- 135. A short, white, male officer ("Defendant 🎒d"), who was armed, approached Plaintiff Guerrero and shouted at him to come towairds hDefendant Doe 1 simultaneously made a fist and intentionally struck Platiff Guerrero in the face.
- 136. Immediately after Defendant Doe 1 punchealint Iff Guerrero, a second male officer who was tall and of Asian descent arrived anadoged Plaintiff Guerrerby the arm. Defendant Doe 1 and the other officer pushed Plaintiff Prero against the Whand patted him down.

 137.

Guerrero remained handcuffed just outsideofffice entrance with other Latino workers who had also been handcuffed and required to retheire. The Plant's general supervisor, Carl Kinser, who is white, was outside the office was permitted to move freely and was not handcuffed.

- 140. Plaintiff Guerrero remained handcuffed and swaquired to remain seated at the office entrance area for about an hour. While detained is area, Plaiff Guerrero was in a complete state of shock and fear. Other of squartrolled this area cless, watching over the workers and ordering them not to move.
- 141. Eventually the officers escorted Plafn Guerrero outside the Plant, where he continued to be detained.
- 142. He was eventually taken to the Armorithwhe other Latino workers, where he was interrogated and fingerprinted.
 - 143. At the Armory, Plaintiff Guerrero contindeto be restrained by plastic zip ties.
 - 144. Plaintiff Guerrero was detainedrfapproximately twelve hours.
- 145. Plaintiff Guerrero was not questioned abbist identity, work authorization, or immigration status prior to being detained the Plant and transported to the Armory.

The Claims of Named Plaintff Luis Bautista Martínez

- 146. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez was working inside the loading dock of the Plant the morning of the raid.
- 147. Once the raid began, three white male officers approached him with their firearms pointed at him. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez thoughey were terrorists and were going to kill him. He stopped working another him the air.

- 148. A tall, white, male officer garbbed Plaintiff Bautista Martérz by the shirt to walk him outside.
- 149. Outside, Plaintiff Bautista Martínez sammany federal and THP officers surrounding the Plant and blocking the exits. He saw patrants and a helicoptellying above.
- 150. One of Plaintiff Bautista Martínez's soworkers fell on the ground, and officers immediately ran toward him. Genofficer put his foot on the worker's head and pointed a gun at him. Two other officers handcuffed the worker.
- 151. Seeing this, Plaintiff Bautistalartínez feared that the officers would treat him with the same level of aggression.
- 152. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez and other workewere lined up outsidthe Plant. Officers handcuffed him while he was astding outside. Plaintiff Bautis Martínez and some of his coworkers were left standing handcuffed of the plant for about two hours.
- 153. During this time, Plaintiff Bautista Mártez asked Defendant Ayala if a pregnant coworker could sit down. Defendant Ayala refu**aed** told Plaintiff Bautista Martínez to "Shut [his] f--king mouth."
- 154. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez asked several distribution permission to use the restroom himself. Defendant Ayala refused and curse all at intiff Bautista Martínez, saying to him "You don't have rights here" and dialg him "Mexican sh-t."
- 155. Eventually, after Plaintiff Bautista Martínez said that he urgently needed to use the bathroom, a white, male ICE officer ("Defendative 2") grabbed him by the shoulder and led him to an outside area behind ailter. Defendant Doe 2 heldiæarm to Plaintiff Bautista Martínez's head and to to to relieve himself right there, plain sight of the other officers

outside. Then Defendant Doe 2 laughed and cuarsbidn. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez felt extremely humiliated by this treatment.

156. Approximately two hours after Plaintiff Bauttas Martínez was movedutside the Plant, an officer grabbed him by his clothes and pautshim into a van along with the other Latino workers. The van transported Pitiff Bautista Martínez to the Armory. No white workers were transported to the Armory in the reswith Plaintiff Bautista Martínez.

157. While in the van, a male officer, who was overweight, white, and had long blond hair down to his waist, took obts phone and took a picture to finself with the Latino workers in the van, yelling "selfie!" while he snapped the shot.

158.At the Armory, Plaintiff Bautista Martínezotinued to be handcuffed with plastic zip ties.

159. During this time, Defendant Ayala beratelaintiff Bautista Martínez and the other workers. He told them in Spanish to "shutte[it] f--king mouths" and yelled that they were "going back to [their] danned s--t country."

160. Eventually, Plaintiff Bautita Martínez was interrogated and fingerprinted at the Armory.

161. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez was detæid for approximately twelve hours.

162. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez was not question about his identity, work authorization, or immigration status prior to being detained that Plant and transported to the Armory.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Equal Protection in Violation of Fifth Amendment
On Behalf of the Class
(Bivens claim against All Defendants

- 163. Plaintiffs reallege and incorrate by reference each ændery allegation contained in paragraphs 1-162 as if life set forth herein.
- 164. The Defendants stopped, detadinesearched, seized, and/orresited Plaintiffs and the Class solely on the basis of Pritaiffs' and Class Members' actual apparent racend ethnicity, in violation of the equal potection component of the Durescess Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 165. The Defendants did not seize, detain, searod/or arrest the similarly situated white workers in the Plant on the day of the raid.
- 166. The Defendants' actions were motivated bycdiminatory intent and racial animus toward Plaintiffs and the Class.
 - 167. The actions of the Defendants were internal, malicious, and reckless and reflect a

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

42 U.S.C. § 1985: Conspiracy to Violate Civil Rights On Behalf of the Class (Bivens claim against All Defendants

170. Plaintiffs reallege and incporate by reference each ændery allegation contained in paragraphs 1-169 as ifly set forth herein.

171. By agreeing to stop, detain, search, seize, carradifest Plaintiffs and the Class solely on the basis of their actual or apparent race and catty in Defendants conspide to deprive Plaintiffs and the Class of the equal protectof the law of the United Steat, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3).

172. As a result of Defendants' actions, Ptiffs and Class Members suffered damages, including but not limited to actual damages, of liberty, humiliation, fear, and emotional distress.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

42 U.S.C. § 1986: Failure to Prevent Violation of Civil Rights
On Behalf of the Class
(Bivens claim against All Defendants

173. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each ændery allegation contained in paragraphs 1-172 as if fully set forth herein.

174. Defendants, having knowledge of the conspir

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Unreasonable Seizures and/or Arrests inviolation of the Fourth Amendment On Behalf of Plaintiffs Isabel Zelaya, Ge

183. The Defendants arrested the Plaintiffs with an utarrest warrant, probable cause that they had violated U.S. immigration or criminal laws, or exigerative recurrences in violation of their Fourth Amendment rights.

184. The right to be free from seizures and ats that are not supported by a warrant, probable cause, or exigent circs trances is clearly established.

185.

193. The right to be free from the use ofcessive force is clearly established.

194. As a result of Defendant Doe 1's action saintiff Guerrero has suffered damages, including but not limited to actual damages pand suffering, humiliation, fear, and emotional distress.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Excessive Force in Violation of Fourth Amendment On Behalf of Plaintiff Luis Bautista Martínez (Bivensclaim against Defendant Doe)2

195. Plaintiff Bautista Martínez realleges aimdorporates by reference each and every

- h. A determination that Plaintiffs' Third Cause of Action may properly be maintained as a class action pursuarFed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3);
- i. An order finding that Plaintiffs Gonzezt Cruz and Zapote Hernández are proper representatives of the Class Members appoint the undersigned as Class Counsel.
- j. An order awarding Plaintiffs costs ofitsuand reasonabletterneys' fees and expenses pursuant toyaapplicable law; and
 - k. Such other and further relief as theux deems equitable, just and proper.

Dated: February 21, 2019 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ John L. Farringer
William L. Harbison (No. 7012)*
Phillip F. Cramer (No. 20697)*

John L. Farringer IV (No. 22783)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this date theregoing and accompanying documents were filed through the Court's CM/ECF filing system dawill be served on the defendants listed below with the summons. When service is complete a PorbService form will be filed with the Court, which Proof of Service will list the ate, method, and documents served.

Jere Miles, Special Agent in Charge, HSI 669 Silverthorne Lane Covington, LA 70433-7823

Robert Hammer, Assistant Special Agent in Charge, HSI 3841 Cannondale Drive Clarksville, TN 37042-1507

David Vicente, Agent, ICE, ERO 1105 Mercer Drive Maryville, TN 37801-9320

Francisco Ayala, Agent, ICE ERO 608 Belle Oak Lane Brandon, MS 39042-8101

Billy Riggins, Special Agent, ICE Department of Homeland Security 3801 Nebraska Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20016

William Hinkle, Deportation Officer, ICE 6704 35th Street Lubbock, TX 79407-1810

Anthony Martin, Deportation Officer, ICE Department of Homeland Security 3801 Nebraska Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20016

M. Grooms, Deportation Officer, ICE Department of Homeland Security 3801 Nebraska Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20016

Scott Pa, Special Agent, ICE Department of Homeland Security 3801 Nebraska Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20016 United States Attorney, East District of Tennessee 800 Market Street, Suite 211 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

United States Attorney General 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20530

Dated: February 21, 2019 /s/ John L. Farringer