Written Statement of Terry C. Landry, Jr. of the Southern Poverty Law Center before House Committee on House and Governmental Affairs State of Louisiana

April 22, 2020 Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Revised Emergency Plan Failso Protect Louisiana Voters

On April 15, 2020, the SPLC Action Fund submitted written testimony to the House Committee on House and Governmental Affairs in response to Secretary of State Kyle Ardoin's Emergency Election Plan for the July presidential preference primary and August municipal general elections Although it wasnot a peffect solution to the challenges posted ouisian upcoming elections the COVID19 pandemic Secretary's original mergency plan contained many of the changes Louisiana needs to make under exosters can safely cast a ballot this summer Rather than build on Secretary Ardoin's plan and active when swift action is neededlegislators chose to defeat on and sent the Secretary back to the drawing board. Committee members cited founded and unsupported concerns about voter fraud to justify their inaction and insinuated that offering voter Td [(C)-1(ofut)-cited uinact2(nTJ 0.0021(c)6(it]TJ u-1(ofut)).

- valid reason to challenge an absentee ballot during the COY Dandemic. The witnessing requirement should be waived outright, but the proposed fixulfixient provided that election officials are properly trained about the change.
- 3/4 The requirement that voters have their absentee ballot applications witnessed by two people must be waived. The plan should also waive witnessipagements for voters who use a marker are unable to sign.
- 3/4 The state must provide poll workers and other election officials with protective gear and the necessary supplies to clean and disinfect polling places, voting machines, etc.

The Secretary of Sate's revised Emergency Election Plan removes many of these crucial changes. The Secretary of Stats'revised plan has the following important deficiencies

- 3/4 Multiple absentee ballot excuses have been removed from the DCO emergency absentee ballot application in the new emergency plan. The original atipm listed eight acceptable excuses with there are only five-dramatically restricting who is eligible to voteby mail for fear of cotracting or spreading COVID 9 by voting in person. The following groups of people are no longer perchitt vote absentee under the new plan:
 - o Voters who are concerned about exposure to or transmission of GOM/ID
 - o Voters between ages 60 and 65;
 - o Voters who live with or care for someon awis at increased risk of exposure to COVID-19 because they are 60 order;
 - o Voters who are caring for a child whose school or daycare has been closed;
 - o Voters advised by a governmental authority to-qelfirantineor shelter at home due to COVID19.
- 3/4 Several of the COVID19-related excuses that were retained in the revisited have been made unnecessarily restrictive:
 - o The excuse allowing those at higher risk of severe illness from COylo vote absentee now applies only those wither underlying medical conditions as identified by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention
 - o The "subject to stay at home, quarantine, or isolation order" excuse has been changed to allow only those under a "medically necessary quarantine or isolation order as a result of COVID9." Medically necessary is not defined.
 - o Caregivers for people underquarantine or isolation order must now identify the individual that they are caring for on their absentee ballot application by. Itame is unclear whether or how this information will be verified, or why it is necessary for voters to supply it.

The witnessing requirement for absentee ballot requests in La. R.S. 18:1307(A) is no longer being waived. Inder this plan, people white alone but are otherwise eligible to vote absentee because the immunocompromised, sick with COVID 9 symptoms or one of the other validates listed in the revised application have to engage in personto-person contact to gettiness signatuse

- The language in the original emergency plan that would prevent absentee ballots from being challenged duto missing witness signatures has been removed. The requirement in La. R.S. 18:1306(E)(2)(a) that absentee ballotelope flaps be signed by a witness will remain a valid reason to challenge an absentee ballot during the coronativisus will force voters who not live with someonever-eighteen to break social distancing guidelines and put their health at risk in order ballot a witness signature on their ballot envelope.
- There is no longer a deadline for parish electifficials to identify poling places that will need to be moved because they are in a location inappropriate for voting during a pandemic. The original version April 22 deadline has been replaced with "as soon as possible," which is inappropriately vague.
- Parishes are no longatlowed to begin preparing and verifying absentee ballots two weeks before Election Dalinstead, parishes that receive more than 2000 returned absentee ballots can begin to prepare and verify ballots a mere two days before Election Day.
- The Secretary's original plan prevented unofficial election results from being released before absentemallot tabulation was completed. It also warned that election results might be delayed by as much as two weeks, allowing bers of the public and the media to anticipte delayed returns the new plan, unofficial returns may be released before vote tabulation is complete and will be released at the discretion of hundreds of local parish election officials. This will create uneven reporting and generate confusion after polls close on Election Day.

As detailed in our <u>enviously submitted testimon</u>, the Secretary plan must implement more safeguards to protect the health of voters, poll workers our democracularing this global health crisis. Secretary Ardoin's first plan was a step in the right direction. By forcing the **Sther Cary** t(s) (v) (as)-5re musthnnelS(r)3(s)-1(,)]TJ Tw 15.032s-0.00n healecpo saouotth 0 Tc cu